

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/808,827	02/28/1997	WALTER HENRY GUNZBURG	1406/194 6837		
7590 05/26/2005		EXAMINER			
Mr. Arles A. Taylor, Jr.			BRUSCA, JOHN S		
Jenkins, Wilson & Taylor, P.A. 3100 Tower Boulevard			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
University Tower, Suite 1400			1631		
Durham, NC	27707		DATE MAILED: 05/26/2005	DATE MAILED: 05/26/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
08/808,827	GUNZBURG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
John S. Brusca	1631		

	John S. Brusca	1631	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 18 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>26 April 2005</u>. A brief i date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply AMENDMENTS 	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3)	7(e)), to avoid dismiss	sal of the
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO	will <u>not</u> be entered bo TE below);	ecause
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	will not be entered, or b) will will will will will will will	I be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:	·		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,5,7,9-26,28,29 and 31-78</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		-	
11. The request for reconsideration has been consider because:			
The Declaration under 35 U.S.C. 1.132 by Christine Leo states that Couture et al. does not show a deletion of a 3 region as discussed in the Office action mailed 26 Octob polylinkers would result in non-expression, however this insertion of heterologous promoters that are active after Couture et al. teaches away from non homologous subsineterologous 3'U3 substitutions of a variety of different reCouture et al.).	J' U3 region, however Couture et al per 2004. The declaration states that is negated by the showing of Faust insertion (see figure 2 of Faustinell titutions in a 3'U3 region however C etroviral sequences results in funct	does show such a dat Couture et al. suggitinella et al. that polylia et al.). The declarate Couture et al. shows the et al. shows the couture et al.	eleted 3' U3 ests that inkers allow for ion states that
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	o(s)	

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

John S. Brusca Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1631

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20050523